



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/591,349	06/09/2000	Thomas Boucino	9040.7	2701

20792 7590 04/28/2003

MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC  
PO BOX 37428  
RALEIGH, NC 27627

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, CHAU N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2831

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003

20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Applicati n N .

09/591,349

Applicant(s)

BOUCINO, THOMAS

Examiner

Chau N Nguyen

Art Unit

2831

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 5-29 and 40-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14, 15, 18-24, 27-29 and 42 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 5-8 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-13, 16, 17, 25, 26, 40 and 41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 17.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 9, 10, 13, 16, 17, 25, 26, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gareis (6,297,454).

Gareis discloses a communications cable comprising a cable jacket (43), a spacer (20) extending within the cable jacket, the spacer having a longitudinally extending center portion and plurality of longitudinally extending wall portions radiating axisymmetrically from the center portion, the longitudinally extending

wall portions decreasing in thickness over only a portion thereof from the center portion to the cable jacket, the spacer and the cable jacket defining a plurality of compartments within the cable jacket, and a twisted pair of insulated conductors disposed in one of the plurality of compartments (re claim 9). Gareis also discloses a plurality of twisted pairs of insulated conductors being disposed in respective ones of the plurality of compartments (re claim 10), the wall portions having a first radial section that increases in thickness with distance from the center portion and a second radial section that decreases in thickness with distance from the center portion (re claim 13), the first and second radial sections being configured such that the wall portions have a convex shaped cross-section, wherein the convex shaped cross-section is arcuate (re claims 16, 17, 40 and 41), the wall portions including a first section having a first thickness, a second section having a second thickness, and a third section having a third thickness, wherein the third section is located between the first and second sections (re claim 25), the first, second and third thicknesses being different from one another (re claim 26).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gareis in view of Boucino et al.

Gareis discloses the invention as claimed except for the compartments having a helical configuration, the twisted pairs extending helically about the longitudinal axis of the cable (claim 12), and each of the twisted pairs having a different lay length (claim 11).

Boucino et al. discloses a communications cable comprising a spacer having compartments with a helical configuration. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the compartments of Gareis to have a helical configuration to hold the twisted pairs together as taught by Boucino et al. (col. 4, lines 15-18).

Boucino et al. discloses a communications cable comprising a plurality of twisted pairs, each having a different lay length. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide each twisted pair of Gareis et al. with a unique lay length which is different from one another to improve the cross-talk among the pairs as taught by Boucino et al.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

5. Claim 5-8 are allowed.
6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claims 5-8 are allowed in view of the rule 1.131 Declaration filed on March 1, 2002.

***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments filed March 11<sup>th</sup> 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the spacer of Gaeris is not configured with axisymmetrically radiating wall portions, but instead has walls that extend from the ends of an oblong portion at the center of the spacer. These arguments are not found persuasive. As shown in Figures 1-3 of Gaeris, the spacer 21 is configured with axisymmetrically wall portions. Although the general cross-section of the spacer is an oblong, it has a central axis, and the wall portions are symmetrical about the central axis (see Figure 2).

***Summary***

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

### ***Communication***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N Nguyen whose telephone number is 308-0693. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (703) 308 3682. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

Application/Control Number: 09/591,349  
Art Unit: 2831

Page 7

assigned are (703) 305 3432 for regular communications and (703) 305 1341 for  
After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or  
proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)  
308-0956.

  
Chau N Nguyen  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2831

CN  
April 22, 2003